UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,761	03/19/2004	Stephen Hochschuler	3110.22US02	7691	
24113 7590 07/13/2007 PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.			EXAMINER		
4800 IDS CEN	4800 IDS CENTER			ARAJ, MICHAEL J	
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3733		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		XX		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	10/804,761	HOCHSCHULER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The SEALLING DATE of this communication on	Michael J. Araj	3733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 . This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-22,28-37,39-51,53-62 and 79 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20,21,35-37,39-51 a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22,28-34 and 79 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	and 53-62 is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/804,761

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 29, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22, 28-34 and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,549,679 to Kuslich in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,413,536 to Gibson et al.

Kuslich discloses in figure 6 the creation of a cavity in the vertebral bone through an access aperture, and the insertion of a container, which container is described in detail in column 7, lines 1-62. Column 9,lines 55-60 describe the use of bone chips implanted within the bag. Column 7, lines 15-17 disclose additional different implantation materials, e.g. hydroxyapatite. Also described with the filler material are

fluids, which are considered to be the second bone filler. Inherently, the bone chips have a different viscosity and chemical makeup than the hydroxyapatite. The filler is introduced to the cancellous bone as in-growth of bone Occurs.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 29, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the '679 Patent is directed towards spinal fusion to treat the disc space and not to treating the vertebral bone itself. Examiner agrees with this statement, however it does not distinctly overcome this art rejection. This patent not only treats the vertebral disc space but also the vertebral bone. Because this treats the vertebral bone in addition to the vertebral disc space the '679 Patent clearly reads on the claim limitations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Araj whose telephone number is 571-272-5963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M.JA

EDVARDO C/TOBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER